

34-67-2-05

THREE YEAR LL.B. DEGREE EXAMINATION — JUNE 2013

SECOND SEMESTER

Paper 2.5 — ADMINISTRATIVE LAW

(Revised Regulations w.e.f. 2009-2010)

Time : 3 hours

Max. Marks : 100

PART - A

(Short Answer questions)

Write short notes on any SIX of the following. Each question carries 4 marks.

(Marks : $6 \times 4 = 24$)

1. Political Question
2. Reasoned Decision
3. Writ of Certiorari
4. Laissez Faire
5. Act of State
6. Estoppel and Waiver
7. MRTP Commission
8. Non-exercise of discretionary power.
9. *Locus standie.*

PART - B

(Essay type questions)

Answer any TWO of the following questions. Each question carries 18 marks.

(Marks : $2 \times 18 = 36$)

10. Describe the role of welfare state in protecting socio-economic rights of the subjects. How does it differ from laissez faire state?
11. "No person shall be judge of his own cause". Explain the significance of this principle with the help of decided cases.

[P.T.O.]

252 (32)

12. Where is the need for a Lokayukta type of institution when there are courts whose authority extends to administrative officials and bodies?

13. Highlight the role of Administrative Tribunals as adjudicating authorities and comment on the powers of the High Court in relation to the Tribunals.

PART - C

(Case comment type questions)

Answer any TWO of the following questions. Each question carries 20 marks.

(Marks : $2 \times 20 = 40$)

14. Mr. X has appeared for LL.B. Examination. The University has cancelled the Examination on the ground of shortage of attendance without assigning any reasons. Discuss.

15. The Government of Andhra Pradesh under the Land Acquisition Act acquired land for a company for construction of its factory for manufacturing textiles machinery parts. The petitioner challenged the acquisition on the ground that he himself intended to erect a factory and which was intended to be used for one public purpose should not be acquired for another public purpose. Discuss.

16. A public corporation advertised for the post of Managing Director. One of the applicants was the son-in-law of Mr. X, a member of selection committee. However, even though he sat on the committee to decide the merits of other applicants, when his son-in-law's application came up for consideration, Mr. X withdrew from the committee, the committee selected Mr. X's son-in-law for the post. Examine the validity of the selection.

17. X's wife lost her life because of the negligence of the employees of the state public works department, X plea to seek justice outside the court fell on deaf ears. Advice X?