

0467117

34-67-2-02

046795

THREE YEAR LL.B. DEGREE EXAMINATION — JUNE 2013

SECOND SEMESTER

Paper 2.2 — CONSTITUTIONAL LAW — II

(Revised Regulation w.e.f. 2009-2010)

Time : 3 hours

Max. Marks : 100

PART - A

(Short Answer Questions)

Write short notes on any SIX of the following. Each question carries 4 marks.

(Marks : $6 \times 4 = 24$)

1. Inter-State commerce.
2. Pith and Substance.
3. Financial Relations.
4. Doctrine of Prospective Overruling.
5. Parliamentary Form of Government.
6. Anti-Defection law.
7. Residuary Powers.
8. Federalism.
9. Collective Responsibility.

PART - B

(Essay Type Questions)

Answer any TWO of the following questions. Each question carries 18 marks.

(Marks : $2 \times 18 = 36$)

10. Examine the scope of Legislative Privileges under the Constitution of India with the help of decided cases.
11. Discuss the scope of judicial review under the constitution of India?
12. Examine the scope of the writ jurisdiction under the Constitution of India?
13. Explain the scope of Doctrine of Immunity of Instrumentalities. Does it apply in India?

[P.T.O.]

PART - C
(Case Comment Type Questions)

Answer any TWO of the following questions. Each question carries 20 marks.

(Marks : $2 \times 20 = 40$)

14. 'X' was dismissed from service without holding departmental enquiry by the authority exercising the power under the provisions of the Constitution of India. State the reasons of the authority with the help of decided case.
 15. A State enacted a legislation on Mining Areas Development Fund Act, levying a cess on all extracted minerals for the better development of mining areas. Later on Parliament enacted Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development Act. Decide the validity of the Act with the help of decided case.
 16. National Emergency was imposed and all the fundamental rights were suspended by Presidential Declaration . A civil liberties activist approached the High Court for violation his right to life and personal liberty. Decide.
 17. 'X' was a government servant holding a substantive post of a clerk. He was promoted. Subsequently, he was reverted to his substantive post on the ground of unsatisfactory work. X' challenged the validity of the order on ground that he reduced in rank without giving a reasonable opportunity under the constitution. Decide with the help of relevant case law.
-